№ AO 120 (Rev. 2/99)

TO: Mail Stop 8

Director of the U.S. Patent & Trademark Office P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

REPORT ON THE FILING OR DETERMINATION OF AN ACTION REGARDING A PATENT OR TRADEMARK

In Compl	iance with 35 § 290 and/or	r 15 U.S.C. § 1116 you are hereby advised that a court action has been				
filed in the U.S. Di	istrict Court Northern I	District of California on the following X Patents or Trademarks:				
DOCKET NO.	DATE FILED	U.S. DISTRICT COURT				
CV 11-00693 DMR	02/17/11	Northern District of California, 1301 Clay Street, RM 400S, Oakland, CA 94612 DEFENDANT				
PLAINTIFF CENZIC INC.		NT OBJECTIVES INC.				
CENZIC INC.		AT OBJECTIVES INC.				
PATENT OR TRADEMARK NO.	DATE OF PATEN OR TRADEMARI	I HILLER DE PATENTINE I RATIENIARK				
1 7,185,232	OK HOUDEMAKE	SEE ATTACHED				
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	+					
2						
3						
4						
5						
		wing patent(s) have been included:				
DATE INCLUDED	INCLUDED BY	Amendment Answer Cross Bill Other Pleading				
PATENT OR	DATE OF PATEN	T				
TRADEMARK NO.	OR TRADEMARI					
1		SEE ATTACHED				
2						
3						
4 .						
	<u> </u>					
5						
In the abov	e—entitled case, the follow	wing decision has been rendered or judgement issued:				
DECISION/JUDGEMENT	DECISION/JUDGEMENT					
CLERK		I(RV) DEPUTY CLERK IDATE				
CLERK Richard W. V	Winking	(BY) DEPUTY CLERK DATE				

PALOALTO 105166 (2K)

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	BIJAL V. VAKIL (Cal. Bar No. 192878) bvakil@whitecase.com SHAMITA D. ETIENNE-CUMMINGS (Cal. Bar No. 202090) setienne@whitecase.com JENNIFER GOSSAIN (Cal. Bar No. 254174) jgossain@whitecase.com WHITE & CASE LLP 5 Palo Alto Square, 9th Floor 3000 El Camino Real Palo Alto, CA 94306 Telephone: (650) 213-0300 Facsimile: (650) 213-8158 ATTORNEYS FOR PLAINTIFF CENZIC INC.
10	United States District Court
11	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
12	Northern District of California
13	
14	CENZIC INC. CIVIL CASE NO.: DMR
15	Plaintiff, (V) 11-00698 DMR
16	vs. Complaint for Patent Infringement
17) DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL NT OBJECTIVES INC.,
18	Defendant.
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	

Plaintiff Cenzic Inc. ("Cenzic") for its Complaint against Defendant NT OBJECTives, Inc. ("NTO"), alleges as follows:

THE PARTIES

- 1. Plaintiff Cenzic is a corporation duly organized and existing under the laws of the State of California with its principal place of business at 455 El Camino Real, Suite #100, Santa Clara, CA 95050 in this district.
- 2. Cenzic is informed, and believes, and thereupon alleges, that defendant NTO is a corporation organized and existing under the laws of the State of California and having its principal place of business at 18801 Tabor Drive, Irvine, California 92603. Upon information and belief, NTO has substantial contacts and transacts substantial business, either directly or through its agent, on an ongoing basis in this judicial district and elsewhere in the United States.
- 3. Unless specifically stated otherwise, the acts complained of herein were committed by, on behalf of, and/or for the benefit of NTO.

NATURE OF THE ACTION

- 4. This is an action for patent infringement.
- 5. Cenzic is informed, and believes, and thereupon alleges, that NTO has been and is infringing, contributing to the infringement of, and/or actively inducing others to infringe the claims of U.S. Patent No. 7,185,232 ("the '232 Patent" or "Asserted Patent"), a copy of which is attached hereto as Exhibit A.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 6. This action arises under the patent laws of the United States, 35 U.S.C. § 1 et seq., including 35 U.S.C § 271. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1338(a).
- 7. This Court has personal jurisdiction over NTO because NTO has substantial contacts and conducts business in the State of California and in this judicial district, and has been infringing, contributing to the infringement of and/or actively inducing others to infringe claims of the Asserted Patent in California and elsewhere.

8. Venue is proper in this Court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1391(b), 1391(c), 1391(d) and/or 1400(b) because a substantial part of the events giving rise to Cenzic's claims occurred in the Northern District of California and because NTO is subject to personal jurisdiction in this district.

FACTUAL BACKGROUND

A. Cenzic

- 9. Cenzic is the trusted provider of software, managed service, and cloud security products which help organizations secure their websites against hacker attacks. Cenzic focuses on Web application security, automating the process of identifying security defects at the Web application level where more than 75% of attacks occur, help customers in remediating those defects, manage risk and get compliance with regulations such as Payment Card Industry ("PCI"). Cenzic is unique in the industry as its products are built on a non-signature-based patented technology. Cenzic solutions secure websites of numerous Fortune 1000 companies, including all major security companies, leading government agencies and universities, and hundreds of small and medium business ("SMB") companies.
- 10. Cenzic has made large-scale investments in the exploitation of its technology and several companies have paid for licenses to its patents. Cenzic has granted licenses of varying scope to its technology to some of the world's largest technology companies.
- 11. Cenzic has spent millions of dollars on research and development of its valuable technology. Cenzic relies on the United States patent system to protect the technology resulting from its research and development. Cenzic's continued success depends on its research and development of non-signature-based patented technology and other solutions, as well as the protection of intellectual property in its innovative technology.

B. Asserted Patent

12. On February 27, 2007, U.S. Patent No. 7,185,232, titled "Fault injection methods and apparatus," was duly and legally issued to Cenzic as assignee of named inventors Penny C. Leavy, Michael Gregory Hoglund, Jonathan Walter Gary, and Riley Dennis Eller.

13. At all relevant times, the Asserted Patent has been owned by Cenzic.

C. NTO's Acts of Infringement

- 14. Cenzic is informed and believes, and thereupon alleges, that NTO has made, used, sold, imported and/or offered for sale, and/or continued to make, use, sell, import and/or offer for sale, products in the United States, in accordance with the notice provided to NTO, at least as early as September 25, 2009.
- 15. The aforementioned NTO products are hereinafter referred to collectively as the "Accused Products." The Accused Products include but are not limited to at least the following: the NTOSpider product line, including but not limited to Web Application Security Scanner, NTOSpider On-Demand, NTOEnterprise; and the NTODefend product line, as well as all product configurations, packages, and/or suites including the same.
- 16. NTO's making, use, sale, offers for sale, and/or importation of the Accused Products in the United States constitute acts of direct infringement of the Asserted Patent.
- offered to sell its Accused Products to third parties who has incorporated, or continues to, incorporate the Accused Products into their own products. Those third parties in turn have made, used, sold, offered for sale, and/or imported and/or continue to make, use, sell, offer for sale, and/or import their own products in the United States. These activities undertaken by such third parties constitute acts of direct infringement of the Asserted Patent. The features provided in NTO's Accused Products are known by NTO to be especially made or especially adapted for use in infringement of the Asserted Patent and are not staple articles or commodities of commerce suitable for substantial non-infringing use. NTO has thereby contributed to and continues to contribute to the infringement of the Asserted Patent.
- 18. Cenzic is informed, and believes, and thereupon alleges, that, by its sales and/or offers for sale of the Accused Products to third parties, NTO also has induced and continues to induce acts by third parties that NTO knew or should have known would constitute direct infringement of the Asserted Patent. NTO actively induces infringement of the Asserted Patent

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

by designing the Accused Products to be capable of infringement and by promoting and encouraging the use of its products by the third parties in ways that infringe the Asserted Patent.

- 19. Cenzic is entitled to recover from NTO the actual damages it sustained as a result of NTO's wrongful acts alleged herein under 35 U.S.C. § 284 in an amount to be proven at trial, together with interest and costs.
- 20. Cenzic is informed and believes, and thereupon alleges, that NTO's infringement of the Asserted Patent as set forth herein has been and is willful, deliberate and in disregard of Cenzic's patent rights, and Cenzic is therefore entitled to increased damages up to three times the amount of actual damages and attorneys' fees, pursuant to 35 U.S.C. §§ 284 and 285.
- 21. NTO's infringement of the Asserted Patent will continue to damage Cenzic, causing irreparable harm for which there is no adequate remedy at law, unless it is enjoined by this Court.

First Count Infringement of U.S. Patent No. 7,185,232

- 22. Cenzic incorporates by reference and realleges paragraphs 1 through 23 above as though fully restated herein.
- 23. Cenzic is informed and believes, and thereupon alleges, that NTO: (1) has infringed and continues to infringe claims of the '232 Patent, literally and/or under the doctrine of equivalents, by making, using, offering to sell, selling (directly or through intermediaries), and/or importing Accused Products consisting of or including NTOSpider products, in this district and elsewhere in the United States, and/or (2) has contributed and continues to contribute to the literal infringement and/or infringement under the doctrine of equivalents of claims of the '232 Patent, and/or has actively induced and continues to actively induce others to infringe claims of the '232 Patent, literally and/or under the doctrine of equivalents, in this district and elsewhere in the United States.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff Cenzic asks this Court to enter judgment in its favor against NTO and grant the following relief:

26

27

28

	II			
1	1. An adjudicat	ion that NTO has infringed and continues to infringe the Asserted		
2	Patent as alleged above;			
3	2. An accounting	ng of all damages sustained by Cenzic as a result of NTO's acts of		
4	infringement of the Asserted Patent;			
5	3. An award to	Cenzic of actual damages adequate to compensate Cenzic for NTO's		
6	acts of patent infringement,	together with prejudgment and post judgment interest;		
7	4. An award to	Cenzic of enhanced damages, up to and including trebling of Cenzic's		
8	damages pursuant to 35 U.S	C. § 284 for NTO's willful infringement of the Asserted Patent;		
9	5. An award of	Cenzic's costs of suit and reasonable attorneys' fees pursuant to 35		
10	U.S.C. § 285 due to the exc	eptional nature of this case, or as otherwise permitted by law;		
11	6. A grant of a	permanent injunction pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 283, enjoining NTO,		
12	and each of its agents, serva	ants, employees, principals, officers, attorneys, successors, assignees,		
13	and all those in active concert or participation with NTO, including related individuals and			
14	entities, customers, representatives, OEMs, dealers, and distributors from further acts of: (1)			
15	infringement, (2) contributor infringement, and (3) active inducement to infringe with respect to			
16	the claims of the Asserted Patent;			
17	7. Any further	relief that this Court deems just and proper.		
18		JURY DEMAND		
19	Plaintiff Cenzic requ	uests a jury trial on all issues triable to a jury in this matter.		
2021				
22				
23				
24	İ			
25				
26				
27				
28				

1	Dated: February 15, 2011	Respectfully submitted,
2	Duiva , 1 co. 1 and	By: Biral V.m
3		By: A W VrVIV , BIJAL V. VAKIL (Cal. Bar No. 192878)
4		bvakil@whitecase.com SHAMITA D. ETIENNE-CUMMINGS (Cal. Bar No. 202090)
5		setienne@whitecase.com JENNIFER GOSSAIN (Cal. Bar No. 254174)
6		jgossain@whitecase.com
7		WHITE & CASE LLP 5 Palo Alto Square, 9th Floor
8		3000 El Camino Real Palo Alto, CA 94306
9		Telephone: (650) 213-0300 Facsimile: (650) 213-8158
10		ATTORNEYS FOR PLAINTIFF
11		CENZIC INC.
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		*
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		